Mind Mapping / Concept Mapping Prezi Presentation

Date ____

		Group Members (First				
MIND MAPPING						
	Beginning + / 1	Developing ++/2	Accomplished +++/3	Exemplary ++++ / 4	Sco	
Central Image	Not clear; difficult to separate from other information. Little to no indication that ideas are connected to and radiating out from centre, from most to least complex	Present; not eye catching or memorable.	Clear; use of picture or image that relates to key idea.	Stands out; meaningfully grasps the key idea through metaphor or humour.		
ldeas Radiate out from Central Imag and from most to least complex	Little to no indication that ideas are connected to and radiating out from centre, from most to least complex	Ideas radiate out from centre, some confusion as you follow ideas moving from most to least complex	Ideas clearly connect to central image and ideas, and for the most part move from most to least complex	Ideas clearly connect to central image and ideas consistently and accurately shift from most to least complex		
deas have key images or key words	Little to no evidence of key images. May have a few keywords or vice-versa	Images and keywords are evident, but either too few or imprecise	Images and key words clearly show an understanding of the content, althought not that memorable	Dynamic use of images and keywords. They clearly connect to central image. See use of metaphor, humour, cut-outs from magazines, clipart, etc.		
Colour or codes to illustrate connections petween ideas	Little to no use of colour, codes, or links to illustrate connections between ideas	Obvious attempt is made to use colour, codes or links to enhance clarity and memory. Still a bit confusing	Clearly uses colour, codes, or links to clarify connections to assist with memory for most aspects of Mind Map	Effectively uses colour, codes, or links to meaningfully clarify connections for all aspects of Mind Map		
Depth of coverage	Insufficient coverage of content covered	Shows a basic level of coverage of key ideas but little extension of ideas	Shows a solid grasp of most of the content and shows extensions of most key ideas	Shows a solid grasp of all the content covered. Extensions of the key ideas show a deep understanding of that content		
	0 ľ	CONCEPT	MAPPING			
Concepts	Insufficient number of concepts selected relating to topic. Arrangement of concepts illustrates no understanding of conceptual relationships	Minimal but acceptable number of concepts selected, with some relationships to the topic Arrangement of concepts demonstrates simple understanding of subordinate conceptual relationships	Arrangement of concepts demonstrates an understanding of subordinate conceptual relationships Concepts connected in a hierarchical structure	Most concepts and all significant concepts selected and they clearly relate to the topic. Concepts demonstrates Complete understanding of Subordinate conceptual relationships Concepts connected in a hierarchical structure leading to more specific concepts.	(x 2	
Hierarchical Structure	Concepts are displayed in a linear sequence. Little or no sense of hierarchical structure	structure used	Concepts connected in a hierarchical structure	Concepts connected in a hierarchical structure leading to more specific concepts		
Linkages	Some basic relationships indicated by connected lines Linkage words are simple and repetitive	Straigtforward relationships connected with linking words Linking words show variety	Most relationships indicated with a connecting line and labeled with linking words Linking words are accurate and varied	All relationships indicated by a connecting line and accurately labeled with appropriate linking words Linking words are expressive and purposeful.		
Cross Links	Cross links not used.	Few cross links are used to illustrate minimal connections	Cross links are used to reflect straightforwards connections	Cross links show complex relationships between two or more distrinct segments of the concept map.		

	Total Score	/ 64	
--	-------------	------	--

NOTES .::